

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/931,878	Applicant(s) KONO, ICHIRO	
	Examiner Daniel D. Chang	Art Unit 2819	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daniel D. Chang. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Mitchell Shapiro. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 17 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Giles et al. (US 5,015,875).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, general background of the invention and claims 1, 17, and 18 were discussed, and it was agreed that claims 1, 17, and 18 will be amended to better present the subject matter and distinguish from the prior art. However, upon filing RCE with an amendment, further consideration will be made.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required